

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commission 2 **COMMISSIONERS** DOCKETED 3 KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman JUL 12 2010 **GARY PIERCE** 4 PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY **DOCKETED BY** 5 **BOB STUMP** 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION DOCKET NO. T-20663A-09-0130 OF ENTELEGENT SOLUTIONS, INC. FOR 7 APPROVAL OF A CERTIFICATE OF 71780 CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE DECISION NO. 8 RESOLD LONG DISTANCE, FACILITIES-BASED LOCAL EXCHANGE, AND SWITCHED ACCESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES IN ARIZONA. **OPINION AND ORDER** 10 DATE OF HEARING: April 29, 2010 11 PLACE OF HEARING: 12 Phoenix, Arizona ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 13 Yvette B. Kinsey **APPEARANCES:** Mr. Matt Bingham, LEWIS AND ROCA, LLP, on behalf of the Applicant; and 15 Ms. Ayesha Vohra, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on 16 behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. 17 BY THE COMMISSION: 18 On March 17, 2009, Entelegent Solutions, Inc. ("ESI" or "Company") filed with the Arizona 19 Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience and 20 Necessity ("CC&N") to provide resold long distance and facilities-based local exchange 21 telecommunications services in Arizona. 22 On July 21, 2009, ESI docketed proof of filing a \$100,000 performance bond with the 23 Commission's Business Office. 24 On September 9, 2009, ESI docketed amended application pages, which included a request to 25 provide switched access telecommunications services in Arizona. ESI's filing also provided

s/ykinsey/telecom/orders/090130o&o

26

27

28

1

On October 26, 2009, ESI filed revised tariff pages and responses to Staff's Second Set of

responses to the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") First Set of Data Requests.

Data Requests.

On February 19, 2010, Staff filed a Staff Report recommending approval of the amended application, subject to certain conditions.

On March 15, 2010, by Procedural Order, the hearing in this matter was scheduled for April 29, 2010 and other procedural deadlines were established.

On March 31, 2010, Matthew G. Bingham, Esq., filed a notice of appearance on behalf of ESI.

On April 8, 2010, ESI docketed a filing showing notice of the application, as amended, had been published on March 26, 2010, in the *Arizona Republic*, a newspaper of general circulation in the proposed service area.

On April 12, 2010, ESI filed a response to the Staff Report stating that ESI had decided to remove from its proposed tariffs the deposit and/or prepayment requirement for resold long distance customers. ESI's response also stated that Staff agrees that with the filing of the revised tariff, no additional bond would be necessary. ESI submitted replacement tariff pages removing the deposit and/or prepayment requirement for resold long distance customers, as well as a filing showing updated maximum and current rates.

On April 28, 2010, Staff docketed an amended Staff Report, continuing to recommend approval of ESI's application and eliminating its recommendation requiring ESI to procure a \$10,000 performance bond or irrevocable sight draft letter of credit ("ISDLC") associated with ESI's resold long distance customers.

On April 29, 2010, a full public hearing was held before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona. ESI and Staff appeared through counsel at the hearing and presented evidence and testimony. No members of the public appeared to give public comments in this matter. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

1. ESI is a foreign corporation, organized under the laws of North Carolina, with its principal place of business in Charlotte, North Carolina. ESI is authorized to transact business in Arizona and is in good standing with the Commission. ²

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 2. On March 17, 2009, ESI filed an application seeking a CC&N to provide resold long distance and facilities-based local exchange telecommunication services in Arizona. ESI's application also requests a determination that its proposed telecommunications services are competitive.
- 3. On September 9, 2009, ESI filed amended pages to its application stating that in addition to its request to provide resold long distance, and facilities-based local exchange services in Arizona, ESI is also seeking authority to provide switched access telecommunications services within the State.³ ESI also filed amended proposed tariffs, which included its proposed switched access telecommunications service.⁴
 - 4. Notice of application, as amended, was given in accordance with the law.
- 5. Staff recommends approval of ESI's amended application for a CC&N to provide resold long distance, facilities-based local exchange, and switched access telecommunications services in Arizona and that ESI's proposed services be classified as competitive.
 - 6. Staff further recommends that:
 - a. ESI comply with all Commission Rules, Orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications services;
 - b. ESI comply with federal laws, federal rules and A.A.C R14-2-1308 (A), to make number portability available;
 - c. ESI abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for Qwest in Docket No. T-01051B-93-0183;
 - d. ESI be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange service providers who wish to serve areas where ESI is the only local provider of local exchange service facilities;

⁴ Id.

Application at Attachment A.

Application at Attachment A. ESI's response to Staff's Data Request dated September 9, 2009.

- e. ESI provide all customers with 911 and E911 service, where available, or will coordinate with ILECs and emergency service providers to provide 911 and E911 service in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-1201(6)(d) and Federal Communications Commission 47 CFR Sections 64.3001 and 64.3002;
- f. ESI notify the Commission immediately upon changes to ESI's name, address or telephone number;
- g. ESI cooperate with Commission investigations including, but not limited to customer complaints;
- h. The fair value rate base information provided for ESI not be given substantial weight in this analysis;
- i. In the event ESI discontinues and/or abandons its service area, ESI must provide to both the Commission and its customers notice as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1107;
- j. ESI offer Caller ID with the capability to toggle between blocking and unblocking the transmission of the telephone number at no charge;
- k. ESI offer Last Call Return service that will not return calls to telephone numbers that have the privacy indicator activated;
- 1. The Commission authorized ESI to discount its rates and service charges to the marginal cost of providing the services.
- 7. Staff recommends that ESI's CC&N should be considered null and void, after due process, if ESI fails to comply with the following conditions:
 - a. ESI docket conforming tariffs for each of its proposed services within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first. The tariffs submitted to the Commission should coincide with the services described in ESI's amended application.
 - b. ESI's performance bond,⁵ remain on file with the Commission and should be increased if at any time it would be insufficient to cover advances, deposits, and/or prepayments collected from ESI's customers. The performance bond or ISDLC should be increased in increments of \$50,000. This increase should occur when the total amount of advances, deposits, and/or prepayments is within \$10,000 of the total \$100,000 performance bond or ISDLC amount. The performance bond or ISDLC should remain in effect until further order of the Commission. The Commission may draw on the performance bond or ISDLC on behalf of and for the sole benefit of ESI's customers, if the Commission finds, in its discretion, that ESI is in default of its obligations arising from its CC&N. The Commission may use the performance bond or

⁵ ESI docketed proof of filing a \$100,000 performance bond with the Commission for its proposed services on July 21, 2009.

ISDLC funds, as appropriate, to protect ESI's customers and the public interest

and take any and all actions the Commission deems necessary, in its discretion, including, but not limited to returning prepayments or deposits collected from

ESI should notify the Commission as a compliance filing when its first

If at some time in the future ESI does not collect advances, deposits, and/or

prepayments from its local exchange or switched access customers, ESI should

file a request for cancellation of its established performance bond or ISDLC regarding its resold long distance, facilities—based local exchange, and

switched access telecommunications services. Further, any such request should reference the Decision in this docket and explain ESI's plans for cancelling

If ESI wants to collect advances, deposits, and/or prepayments from its resold

long distance customers in the future, ESI should file an application with the Commission requesting approval to do so, and said application should

ESI should abide by the Commission adopted rules that address Universal Service in Arizona, which indicates that all telecommunications service

providers that interconnect into the public switched network shall provide

funding for the Arizona Universal Service fund. ESI should make the

necessary monthly payments required under by A.A.C. R14-2-1204(B).

ESI's customers.

customer is served.

c.

d.

e.

f.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

1415

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

Technical Capability

8. ESI proposes to provide the same services it is requesting authority to provide in Arizona in all states except for Alaska.⁶

those portions of the performance bond or ISDLC.

reference any Decision in this docket.

- 9. Mr. Dave Gibson, vice president of operations and technology for ESI, testified that ESI will provide services through reseller and/or wholesale agreements with incumbents like AT&T, Qwest, and Verizon. Mr. Gibson further stated that since filing the application, ESI has been authorized to provide telecommunications services in all states except for Louisiana, Arizona, Maine, and Alaska. According to Mr. Gibson, ESI has customers in 23 of the states where ESI has been approved to provide its proposed services.
 - 10. According to Staff, ESI will market its proposed services in Arizona to large, multi-

26

27 Application at A-18.

⁷ Tr. at 11. ⁸ Tr. at 8.

28 7r. at 8.

DECISION NO. 71780

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

¹² Amended Application at Attachment A.

10 Staff Report at 1.

11 Tr. at 12, Staff Report at 1.

13 ESI states it is a start-up company and therefore had limited financial information at the time of filing its application. Application at Attachment D.

¹⁴Response to Staff's Data Request dated September 9, 2009. ¹⁵ Application at Attachment B.

location businesses. 10 ESI does not anticipate having employees in Arizona, and may sell its services through contract sales agents.11

- ESI provided information showing that its top executives have in excess of 50 years 11. experience in the telecommunications industry. 12
- Based on the above information, Staff concluded that ESI has the technical capabilities 12. to provide its proposed services in Arizona.

Financial Capabilities

- ESI provided limited unaudited financial information showing ESI had total assets of 13. approximately \$1.4 million; total equity of \$1.4 million; and a net income of \$1.3 million, as of March 2009. 13
- To supplement its limited financial information and in response to Staff's Data 14. Request, ESI provided a three year projection of its assets, equity, and net income. ESI anticipates that by the year 2011 it will have total assets of \$3.4 million, equity of \$2.5 million, and a net income of \$770,000.14
- ESI filed proposed tariffs showing that it may collect advances and/or deposits from 15. its local exchange or switched access customers. 15
- Staff recommends requiring ESI to secure a performance bond or ISDLC in the 16. amount of \$100,000. Staff based its recommendation on the Commission's policy of requiring facilities-based local exchange providers to procure a \$100,000 performance bond or ISDLC.
- ESI docketed proof of filing a \$100,000 performance bond with the Commission on 17. July 21, 2009; therefore, ESI has satisfied Staff's recommendation regarding the performance bond or ISDLC for its proposed facilities-based local exchange services.
- Staff believes ESI will have to compete with various incumbent local exchange 18. carriers ("ILEC"), competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs"), and interexchange carries

- ("IXCs") currently providing telecommunications services in order to obtain customers in Arizona. 16
- 19. Given the competitive environment in which ESI will be providing service, Staff believes ESI will not be able to exert any market power and the competitive process will result in rates that are just and reasonable. ¹⁷
- 20. ESI's rates are for competitive services. According to Staff, in general, rates for competitive services are not set in the same manner as for non-competitive services. Although fair value rate base is taken into account as part of the approval process for competitive services, Staff believes that ESI's fair value rate base is to small to be useful in a fair value analysis.¹⁸
- 21. Staff reviewed ESI's proposed tariffs showing the actual rates and charges for its proposed services. Staff believes the proposed rates and charges are comparable to rates charge by other competitors in the market providing similar services. Staff stated that although Staff considered ESI's fair value rate base, the information should not be given substantial weight in this analysis. ¹⁹
- 22. Staff believes ESI's proposed rates, as presented in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and Staff recommends that the Commission approved ESI's proposed rates.²⁰

Local Exchange Carrier Specific Issues

- 23. Staff recommends that pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1308(A) and federal laws and rules, ESI should make number portability available to facilitate the ability of customers to switch between authorized local carriers within a given wire center without changing their telephone number and without impairment to quality, functionality, reliability, or convenience of use.
- 24. In compliance with A.A.C. R14-2-1204, all telecommunications service providers that interconnect into a public switched network shall provide funding for the Arizona Universal Service Fund ("AUSF"). Staff recommends that ESI contribute to the AUSF as required by the A.A.C. and that ESI make the necessary monthly payments as required under A.A.C. R14-2-1204(B).
- 25. In Commission Decision No. 59421 (December 20, 1995) the Commission approved quality of service standards for Qwest which imposed penalties due to an unsatisfactory level of

¹⁶ Amended Staff Report at 3.

¹⁸ r s

¹⁸ Id

Amended Staff Report at 4.Id at 10.

5

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

service. In this matter, ESI does not have a similar history of service quality problems, and therefore Staff recommends that the penalties outlined in the Owest Decision should not apply to ESI.

- 26. In areas where ESI is the only local exchange service provider, Staff recommends that ESI be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange service providers who wish to serve the area.
- ESI will provide all customers with 911 and E911 service where available, or will 27. coordinate with ILECs, and emergency service providers to facilitate the service.
- 28. Pursuant to prior Commission Decision, ESI may offer customer local area signaling services such as Caller ID and Call Blocking, so long as the customer is able to block or unblock each individual call at no additional cost.

Complaint Information

- According to ESI's application, it has not had an application for service denied in any 29. State where it has applied for a certificate to provide service.²¹
- Staff reviewed the information from five (5) State Commissions where ESI is 30. authorized to provide service and found no evidence of any complaints being filed in any of those States or with the Federal Communications Commission against ESI.²²
- 31. ESI's application states that no officers, directors, and /or managers have been and currently are not involved in any formal or informal complaint proceedings.²³ Further, ESI states that no officers, directors, or manager have been or are currently involved in civil or criminal investigations and that none have been convicted of criminal acts within the last ten years.²⁴
- 32. The Commission's Consumer Services Division reported that no complaints had been filed against ESI in Arizona from January 1, 2006 through March 25, 2009. 25

Competitive Analysis

Staff recommends approval of ESI's proposed services as competitive. Staff states 33.

²¹ Application A-18. ²² During Staff's review, Staff contacted the State Commissions in California, Michigan, North Carolina, Texas, and Virginia.

Application A-11.

²⁴ Application A-12. ²⁵ Amended Staff Report at 6.

that ESI will have to convince customers to purchase its services; has no ability to adversely to affect the competitive local exchange or ILEC markets; and alternative providers exist in the markets ESI desires to serve. Therefore, Staff believes ESI will not have any market power in the markets it wishes to serve and that ESI's proposed services should be classified as competitive.

34. Staff's recommendations, as set forth herein, are reasonable and should be adopted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. ESI is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. § 40-285, and A.A.C. R14-2-801 *et seq*.
 - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over ESI and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the amended application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. §§ 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a CC&N to provide competitive telecommunications services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for ESI to provide the telecommunications services set forth in its amended application.
- 6. ESI is a fit and proper entity to receive an CC&N authorizing it to provide competitive resold long distance, facilities-based local exchange, and switched access telecommunications services in Arizona, subject to Staff's recommendations as set forth herein.
- 7. The telecommunications services ESI intends to provide are competitive within Arizona.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for ESI to establish rates and charges that are not less than ESI's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
 - 9. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Entelegent Solutions, Inc. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide competitive resold long distance, facilities-based

local exchange, and switched access telecommunications services in Arizona is hereby approved, subject to Commission Staff's recommendations as described in Findings of Fact Nos. 6 and 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Entelegent Solutions, Inc., fails to comply with Staff's conditions, as described in Findings of Fact No. 7, the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. **CHAIRMAN** COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 12 to day of July, 2010. **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** DISSENT DISSENT

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	ENTELEGENT SOLUTIONS, INC.
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-20663A-09-0130
3		
4	David Gibson ENTELEGENT SOLUTIONS, INC. 3800 Arco Corporate Drive, Suite 310	
5	Charlotte, NC 28273	
6	Carey Rosel TECHNOLOGIES MANAGEMENT, INC	C.
7	2600 Maitland Center Pkwy., Suite 300 Maitland, FL 32751	
8	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division	
9	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS	ION
10	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
11	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division	
12	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	ION
13	1 Hochix, AZ 05007	
14		
15		
16 17	·	
18		
19		
20		
21		en e
22		
23		
24		
25		